#### How to fill in the Priorities Survey

(Please select the top 5 in each category and give	<b>2015</b>
each a unique rank from 1 to 5; 1 = highest)	Ranking
General IPM Issues	
Pesticide resistance	
Invasive/exotic species	
Weather/information delivery systems	
Cost reduction	
Pollinator conservation	
Organic production	
Pheromone technology	
OP/carbamate replacements	
Abandoned orchard impact	
IFP certification	
Groundwater monitoring	

#### How to fill in the Priorities Survey

(Please select the top 5 in each category and give	2015
each a unique rank from 1 to 5; 1 = highest)	Ranking
General IPM Issues	
Pesticide resistance	
Invasive/exotic species	2
Weather/information delivery systems	4
Cost reduction	3
Pollinator conservation	
Organic production	
Pheromone technology	5
OP/carbamate replacements	1
Abandoned orchard impact	
IFP certification	
Groundwater monitoring	

#### How to fill in the Priorities Survey

(Please select the top 5 in each category and give	2015
each a unique rank from 1 to 5; 1 = highest)	Ranking
General IPM Issues	
Pesticide resistance	
Invasive/exotic species	2
Weather/information delivery systems	4
Cost reduction	
Pollinator conservation	
Organic production	
Pheromone technology	5
OP/carbamate replacements	1
Abandoned orchard impact	
IFP certification	
Groundwater monitoring	
(write in) How to get trap stickum out of your hair	3

#### How <u>not</u> to fill in the Priorities Survey

(Please select the top 5 in each category and give	2015
each a unique rank from 1 to 5; 1 = highest)	Ranking
General IPM Issues	
Pesticide resistance	1
Invasive/exotic species	1
Weather/information delivery systems	1
Cost reduction	1
Pollinator conservation	1
Organic production	1
Pheromone technology	1
OP/carbamate replacements	1
Abandoned orchard impact	1
IFP certification	1
Groundwater monitoring	1

#### How <u>not</u> to fill in the Priorities Survey

(Please select the top 5 in each category and give	<b>2015</b>
each a unique rank from 1 to 5; 1 = highest)	Ranking
General IPM Issues	
Pesticide resistance	2
Invasive/exotic species	2
Weather/information delivery systems	1
Cost reduction	3
Pollinator conservation	2
Organic production	1
Pheromone technology	1
OP/carbamate replacements	2
Abandoned orchard impact	4
IFP certification	4
Groundwater monitoring	5

#### How <u>not</u> to fill in the Priorities Survey

(Please select the top 5 in each category and give	<b>2015</b>
each a unique rank from 1 to 5; 1 = highest)	Ranking
General IPM Issues	
Pesticide resistance	8
Invasive/exotic species	2
Weather/information delivery systems	4
Cost reduction	3
Pollinator conservation	7
Organic production	10
Pheromone technology	5
OP/carbamate replacements	1
Abandoned orchard impact	6
IFP certification	9
Groundwater monitoring	11

## Progress in Development of Fixed Spraying Systems in High-Density Apples and Berries







Arthur Agnello & Andrew Landers Dept. of Entomology Cornell University New York State Agricultural Experiment Station Geneva, NY USA

# Conventional approach to pesticide application in apple orchards



Use of airblast sprayers can be inefficient and inaccurate • spray drift

- off-target contamination
- ineffective pest control



### Study Site for Fixed Spray Evaluation, 2007

#### Fowler Farms Wolcott, NY





- Mature 'Gala' block, 0.9 A
- "Super Spindle" planting system
- Row spacing 10 ft
- Tree spacing 2 ft



## <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-inch polyethylene tubing

- Minimal number of branch points and reductions in tubing diameter to avoid
   excessive pressure loss between pump and nozzles.
- Nozzles attached directly to line within row

#### **Lateral Line Support System**



- Supply incorporated lines into tree support system
- Dual (high and low) lateral lines, sprays made from row center outwards
- No air-assist, limited canopy penetration; intended for use in highdensity plantings only

#### **Supply Manifold Support System**





trellis support post 2-inch PVC Schedule 80 pipe

Supply line mounted overhead, using rigid PVC pipe attached to the trellis support posts

## **Pesticide Injection**

#### **Mobile Pumping Unit**



- Could have used airblast sprayer to pump the solution, but most sprayer pumps provide ~35 gal/min; need 3x that capacity
- Mobile unit built with tank and a suitable pump; transported to a central injection site



Apple Scab

Current System Modifications and Redesigns "Solid Set Canopy Delivery System" (SSCDS) (Grant with Michigan State Univ. and Washington State Univ.)

- Pressure-compensating valves and leak-prevention nozzles installed to delay and synchronize emission of sprays at a target pressure after lines have been fully charged
- Supplied each emitter with just enough spray material to adequately cover tree canopy surfaces below it
- Use compressed air to recirculate and re-capture excess spray solution, effect spray delivery, and purge residue from lines
- Spray material is delivered sequentially to small section of orchard at a time (1-2 rows; 15-30 sec each) from a pre-mixed tank, through irrigation lines fixed above each row







#### New York Design of Solid-Set Canopy Delivery System



Spray Application Process

- Pump used to fill all tubes and reservoirs from tank containing mixed spray materials
- Compressed air clears main supply tubes, returns excess material to spray tank
- Compressed air at a higher pressure opens check valves, all emitters spray out pesticide solution (15 sec for ~70 gpa)

Pipe manifold with valves, input ports for pump & compressor



#### Buried PVC pipes/supply lines











reservoirs

#### reservoir

#### check valve

#### microsprinkler







#### Michigan State Univ Trials (Larry Gut)

## Comparing SSCDS and Airblast Sprayer Coverage

- Amount of AI deposited
- Percent surface area coverage
- Spatial distribution within the canopy
- Parallel comparison of spray deposition data to insect bioassays



Michigan State Univ Trials (John Wise, Ron Perry)

### **Amount of AI deposited**





#### Michigan State Univ Trials (John Wise, Ron Perry)

## % Surface Area Covered





Washington State Univ Trials (Jay Brunner)

## % Surface Area Covered





MSU and WSU Trials (Gut & Brunner)

## Leafroller Mortality MSU WSU



## Progress



- Operational SSCD systems have been developed and tested
- Total amount of material applied to canopy of tree using SSCDS as good as with airblast sprayer
- Coverage on upper leaf surface good variable on underside
- Efficacy of pest management inputs using SSCDS equivalent to or better than that achieved using airblast sprayer
- SSCDS shows potential for improving efficacy of sprayable pheromone

## **Potential Benefits**

- Lower labor requirements, equipment upkeep possibly cheaper; potential for a greater degree of automation or precision operation
- Ability to spray in orchard conditions where tractor operation may not be optimal (e.g., early season, low-light hours; highly sloping blocks)
- Short application time:
  - take advantage of narrow application windows
  - multiple sprays and re-sprays much easier; can use short-residual (least-toxic) materials, sprayable pheromones; rescue treatments
- Minimal drift and off-target deposition; quieter operation; less impact on neighbors, adjacent property or roads
- Readily adaptable to use for irrigation, frost protection, sunburn protection

## A Fixed Spray System for Spotted Wing Drosophila Management in High Tunnel Raspberries



### **Fixed Spray System for High Tunnels**

Drop tubes spaced every 5 ft
Rows ~100-120 ft long



connected to PVC manifold Individual gauge and valve for each line

## **Fixed Spray System for High Tunnels**

- Rears Nifty Pul Tank greenhouse sprayer
- 3 HP motor
- 25 gal tank

- Netafim DAN 7000 series microsprinklers
- 8-mm orifice; flat circular pattern spreader (6 ft diam spray profile)
- 20 psi check valve



## **High Tunnel Trial Sites**

- Research raspberry planting, NYSAES, Geneva
- Research blackberry planting, Cornell, Ithaca
- Commercial raspberry farm, Stephentown
- Sprays applied weekly:
  - 7/29 Delegate 6 oz/A
  - 8/5 Assail 5 oz/A
  - 8/12 Assail 5 oz/A
  - 8/19 Delegate 3.5 oz/A
  - 8/26 Delegate 3.5 oz/A
  - 9/2 Assail 5 oz/A
  - 9/9 Assail 5 oz/A
  - 9/16 Delegate 3.5 oz/A
  - 9/23 Delegate 3 oz/A
- Sugar 2 lb/100 gal added as feeding stimulant to all sprays
- Identical sprays made in check high tunnel plantings using backpack sprayer

## **SWD Population & Infestation Assessment**

Mean total SWD/g of

- Early August: Weekly samples taken of maturing fruit, held at room temp to rear out any larvae to adult stage<sup>2</sup>
  - 8-13 samples collected per site
  - 10-20 berries (50-100 g total)
  - both Fixed Spray planting and Check planting sampled
- Stephentown (ripe fruit picked daily): no difference in # of adults from different treatments
- Geneva & Ithaca: ~2.5X as many flies from Fixed Spray as from Check plantings
  - fruit not harvested as frequently
  - blackberry planting much more vigorous; coverage not as good



## **Future Areas for Possible Improvement**

- Shorten spray duration times
  - System could be running too long and washing off active ingredient
- Assess spray coverage on fruit by using fluorescent tracer dye
- Examine possibility of direct pesticide injection (dosing pump) rather than mixing pesticide solutions in the tank
- Quantify pesticide residue levels on the fruit, or conduct bioassays using lab-reared flies to see how efficacy changes over time.
- Look at cultural practices that might increase coverage
  - positioning of canes
  - cane pruning

## Acknowledgments

Team effort: Cornell, MSU, WSU, Private Industry (John Nye, Trickle-Eez Co.), Growers (John & J.D. Fowler, Fowler Farms) and Others USDA SCRI Grant No. 2011-51181-31037

### Acknowledgments

- Collaborating trial site personnel: Dale IIa Riggs, Laura McDermott, Marvin Pritts, Rich Raba, Courteney Weber
- Technical and engineering assistance: Bill Larzelere, Steve Hesler, Jordi Llorens, Changyuan Zhai, Johanna Elsensohn, Tessa Lessord, Chrissy Dodge, Gabrielle Brind-Amour, McKenzie Schessl, and Allison Wentworth
- Funding support: New York Farm Viability Institute (Dave Grusenmeyer)

