2017 ARDP Hudson Valley Fruit School February 14, 2017

Multiple Strategies to Control Bitter Pit in Honeycrisp

Principle Researchers

Dan Donahue & Anna Wallis CCE Eastern NY Commercial Horticulture Program

Collaborators

Dr. Chris Watkins Dr. Lailiang Cheng Dr. Gemma Reig Jim Eve





Cornell University Cooperative Extension Eastern New York Commercial Horticulture

Objectives

1. Orchard Survey

Survey ENY orchards to determine if relationships exist between certain horticultural, nutritional, and micro-climate parameters and the expression of Bitter Pit in Honeycrisp

2. Prediction Tool – apple peel nutrient analysis

Evaluate the value of pre-harvest apple peel analysis as a Bitter Pit prediction tool

3. Foliar Calcium Efficacy Trial

Evaluate commercially available sprayable calcium formulations for Bitter Pit mitigation.

4. Competition for Ca, How to Tip the Balance?

Evaluate strategies deployable during the cell mitosis phase of fruit development to mitigate the expression of Bitter Pit

Soil Water Status Monitoring - HC BP Survey

- 35 blocks
 - 19 Hudson Valley
 - 16 Champlain Valley
- Six contiguous trees
- Variables
 - Horticultural
 - Nutritional
 - Micro-climate
 - Fruit quality and BP







September 19, 2017: Empty Irrigation Pond





Have Irrigation? Selected observations across 35 ENY Honeycrisp Blocks in 2016

Irrigation status was not a statistically significant factor for the parameters below:

		Fruit		Bitter Pit @	Bitter Pit @
Irrigation	Blocks	Size	Fruit Load	Harvest	60 days
Status	Sampled	(gm)	(#/CM ² TCSA)	(% Incidence)	(% Incidence)
Ν	23	222	2.6	13.8	29.1
Υ	12	215	3.1	13.3	23.1

Irrigation Scheduling Considerations

- Many (most?) growers do not have an unlimited supply of irrigation water
- 2016 in ENY was a dry year, but not officially a drought
- 2016 in WNY suffered a serious drought, it could happen here as well
- Use the NEWA irrigation model to assist in efficiently scheduling your irrigation
- Excess water is not necessarily better, or needed to produce a quality crop



Bitter pit in Honeycrisp on G-41 vs M9-337: Field observations from an orchard visit.

Dan Donahue

Extension Associate CCE Eastern NY Commercial Horticulture Program Cornell Cooperative Extension Hudson Valley Research Laboratory Highland, New York



Bitter pit in Honeycrisp on G-41 vs M9-337



HC / G-41

HC / M9-337

Bitter pit in Honeycrisp on G-41 vs M9-337

% of Sampled Apples w/Bitter Pit									
Rootstock	Chi Sq /AMP		% BP Incidence						
M9-337	lower	n=144	59.7						
G-41	upper	n=171	81.9						
JMP Contingency Analysis of Means									
by Treatment,	by Treatment, w/Chi-Square and Analysis of								
Means by Pro	portion								

Bitter Pit in Honeycrisp on G-41 vs M9-337



Read all the details of the study in the upcoming Spring 2017 issue of the New York Fruit Quarterly





ARDP Black Stem Borer Biology and Impact



	Block 1			Block 2	Block 3				
	Row 1	Row 5		Row 1	Row 5	Row 10	1	Row 1	Row 5
	0	0		ASPV	ASPV	0		0	0
	0	0		ASPV, ACLSV	ASPV	0		ASPV, ACLSV	ASPV, ACLS
	0	0		ASPV	ASPV	0		ASPV, ACLSV	0
				ASPV	ASPV	0		ACLSV	0
				ASPV	ASPV, ACLSV	0		ASPV, ACLSV	0
ARDP Apple orchard latent									ASPV
virus	stu	ıdy	': P	relir	nina	ary			ASPV. ACLS
result	ts								



Color Rating Zestar! Trees











Block 1





Apple Tree Decline: Bark Injury



Possible Causes of, or Contributors to, Apple Tree Decline

Black Stem Borer & Associated Pathogens

Dogwood Borer

Phytophtora

Secondary Wood Decay Fungi

Fire Blight

Nutrient Imbalances

Rootstock/Scion Incompatibilities

Winter Injury

Drought Stress

Latent virus Infections

Herbicide Injury

A new insect pest or pathogen?



Summer Internship Available for 2017

Located at the Hudson Valley Laboratory in Highland, NY

Position supervisors are Dan Donahue and Dr. Kerik Cox

Project is to work on our Apple Orchard Decline Survey

The successful candidate must be current Cornell undergraduate, have a driver's license and reliable transportation, and some biology coursework.

Salary is \$4,000 for the period June 1 – August 18, 2017



NYS DEC Special Permit Training for 2017

- The newly published Federal Pesticide Applicator Certification Regulations, among other changes, eliminate Special Permit Training Programs.
- It may be 5 years before NYSDEC can fully implement the changes.
- Until then, SPT will continue, relatively unchanged, for 2017 and very likely, 2018.
- The new regulations will require some form of annual training for non-certified applicators to legally apply FRUP's while under **DIRECT** supervision.
- Direct Supervision in NYS means: "my voice to your ear" (no electronic assistance allowed)
- A solution in a change in NYS regulations to allow a broader definition of "Direct Supervision". The Tree Fruit industry has about two years to get this done.



Pesticide Applicator Exam Coaching for 2017

- SPT is specifically intended for farm employees who cannot read English.
- NYSDEC officials monitor our trainings across the state, and suspect that some of our students can read English well enough to sit for the Private Applicator Certification Exam.



PRE-REGISTRATION is REQUIRED by Friday, March 10th

Workshop cost is: \$50.00 per person (Additional costs for manuals and exam)

Hudson Valley Pre-Exam Coaching Sessions Hudson Valley Research Lab, 3357 US 9W, Highland, NY *Training Classes:* Monday 3/20 & Wednesday 3/22, 9AM-12Noon *Exam:* Friday 3/24, 9AM-1PM *Registration:* http://enych.cce.cornell.edu/event.php?id=686



Fall Weed Control Efficacy Studies

2014 – 2015 in the Hudson Valley

6' X 20' plots with 3 replicates, cultivar Honeycrisp

Application Date: 12-November, 2014

Application made at 59°F, 0.21" rain within 48 hours, with a 5-day total of 1.04" at an average temperature of 37°F

2015 – 2016 in the Champlain Valley

9'x4' plots with 3 replicated, cultivar NY-1 Application made on 28-October, 2015.

Application made at 40°F, 1.02" rain within 24hrs, and a 5-day total of 1.12" at an average temperature of 43°F



Table 1. HVRL Fall 2014 Weed Control in Honeycrisp, Summer 2015 Evaluations										
										Weed Species
		Tank Mix Com	binations			9	% Weed	Cover		Breakthrough
Material #1*	Rate	Material #2	Rate	Material #3	Rate	25-May	8-Jun	29-Jun	2-Oct	
Control	na	na	na	na	na	60	90	100	100	see full list
Gramoxone	3.5 pts/A	na	na	na	na	27	70	100	100	LQ PS CT
Gramoxone	3.5 pts/A	Matrix 25 DF	4 oz/A	na	na	3	17	87	97	LQ PS CT BG
Gramoxone	3.5 pts/A	Alion 1.67	6 oz/A	na	na	0	0	13	23	N/A
Gramoxone	3.5 pts/A	Simazine 90 DF	1.1 lbs/A	Diuron 80 DF	1.25 lbs/A	13	30	90	97	LQ PS BP
Gramoxone	3.5 pts/A	Chateau 51 SW	10 oz/A	na	na	0	3	20	67	RC BG
Gramoxone	3.5 pts/A	GoalTender	3 pts/A	na	na	3	7	23	67	CC PR LQ PS
Gramoxone	3.5 pts/A	Sandea 0.75	0.8 oz/A	na	na	13	50	90	93	LQ PS RC
Gramoxone	3.5 pts/A	Casoron 1.4 CS	2.3 gal/A	na	na	0	13	83	97	PR LQ BG
* LI-700 at 0	.125% add	ed to all spray tre	atments							
Key: LQ: Lar	mbsquarter	s; PS: Pennsyvan	ia Smartwo	eed; CT: Cana	da Thistle;	BG: Berm	iudagra	ss; BP: B	roadlea	af Plantain
RC: Red Clov	ver; CC: Cor	mmon Chickweed	d; PR: Pere	nnial Ryegras	5					
Additional s	pecies four	nd in the control	plots: Bu	ckhorn Planta	in, Commo	n Ground	sel, Cor	nmon M	lallow	
Dandelion, N	/lare's Tail,	Mouse Ear Chick	weed, Orc	hardgrass, Re	droot Pigwe	eed, Yello	w Woo	d Sorrel		

Results from the 2014-2015 Hudson Valley Efficacy Trial

- The combination of Gramoxone and Alion resulted in near seasonlong control, producing 77% control as late as 2-October.
- Second best was Gramoxone/Chateau and Gramoxone/Goaltender at 33% control as late as 2-October.
- The ratings of these three treatments on 29-June were statistically identical, and significantly better than the other six treatments.
- With the exception of the above, all other treatment combinations would have required the follow-up application of a burn-down material such as Gramoxone, Rely, or Roundup sometime in June.



Table 2. Champlain Valley Fall 2015 Weed Control, Evaluation 17-May, 2016								
Material #1	Rate	Material #2	Rate	% Weed Cover	Weed Species Breakthrough			
Control	na	na	na	92	See complete list			
2,4-D	3 pt/A	na	na	85	OX, V, G			
Glyphosate	3 qt/A	na	na	65	OX, V, M, LQ			
Glyphosate	3 qt/A	2,4-D	3 pt/A	62	OX, V, M, LQ			
Alion	5 oz/A	na	na	22	OX, V, G, HW			
Casoron	2.8 gal/A	na	na	70	OX, V, G, M			
Chateau	12 oz/A	na	na	36	OX, V, D, G, LT			
GoalTender	4 pt/A	na	na	67	OX, V, G, HW			
Matrix	4 oz/A	na	na	8	OX, V, G			
ProwlH2O	4 pt/A	na	na	68	V, G, M, HW			
Sandea	1 oz/A	na	na	18	OX, V, D, G			
Stinger	0.67 pt/A	na	na	73	V, G, M			
* no surfactants or Gramoxone used. Sprayed onto nearly bare ground.								
KEY: OX: Oxalis, V: Vetch, D: Dandelion, G: Orchard Grass, M: Mustard, LQ: Lambs Quarters, LT: Lady's Thumbprint, HW: Horseweed								

Results from the 2015-2016 Champlain Valley Efficacy Trial

- The best control in terms of ground cover was provided by Matrix, Sandea, and Alion, with 92%, 82% and 78% control respectively.
- Chateau provided moderate control (64%), but with considerable variability between plots.
- The remaining treatments provided on average less than 40% control, requiring a burndown material in the spring.



Read all the details of this study in the October 2016 issue of *ENYCHP Tree Fruit News*.

And

Managing Apple Orchard Weeds in the Fall New York Fruit Quarterly, Winter 2016

Deborah Breth, Sr. Ext. Assoc. (retired), Cornell Cooperative Extension – LOF Anna Wallis, Area Extension Specialist, Cornell Cooperative Extension – ENYCHP Dan Donahue, Area Extension Specialist, Cornell Cooperative Extension – ENYCHP Elizabeth Tee, Program Aide, Cornell Cooperative Extension – Lake Ontario Fruit Program



Effective Orchard Spraying Workshop with Dr. Andrew Landers

February 22, 2017 8:30 am - 3:15 pm Cornell Hudson Valley Research Laboratory 3357 RT 9W Highland, NY Pre-Registration is required, \$15 fee (covers lunch)



Workshop: NEWA and Orchard Pest Scouting

Dan Olmstead Peter Jentsch Dan Donahue Dr. Srdjan Acimovic

March 30th, 2017 10:00 am - 3:00 pm Cornell Hudson Valley Research Laboratory 3357 RT 9W Highland, NY Pre-Registration is required, \$20 fee (covers lunch)



Example of E-Alert

Cornell ENYCHP Tree Fruit E-Alert for May 23rd, 2016 @ 7:00 pm

In this E-Alert

Champlain Valley Thinning Meeting An Apple Thinning Editorial How Can the Current Thinners be Classified? How to De-Fruit Young Apple Trees For Crop Insurance Purposes, You Must Maintain the Orchard According to Best Practices Registration is Open for the 2016 IFTA Summer Tour of Western New York Tree, Post & Trellis Exchange Meeting & Workshop Announcements

Out and About

Dan and Anna will be available by email and phone all of next week.



Tree Fruit News



The early accumulation of heat units led to McIntosh green tip at the Cornell Hudson Valley Research Lab on March 16th, three weeks earlier than average. Development continued at a faster than normal pace, reaching 1/2" green to early tight cluster, depending on the variety, by April 5th. Disaster struck on the evenings of April 5th and 6th as temperatures dipped into the single digits in Columbia County, and the low teens in Ulster. According to published cold injury charts, flower bud mortality should have been close to 100%. The reality was that a substantial number of buds survived and development resumed. At cold, wet, and much longer than normal. The period from pink to petal fall was as long as three weeks for some varie- than 2015. ties. The quality of pollination appeared questiona-

Lo cations	Avg Temp (F)	Max Temp (F)	Min Temp (F)	Total Rain (in)	
Chazy	56.9	80.2	33.4	1.74	
Peru	57.4	83.8	29.4	1.14	
Crown Point	56.9	83.5	28.9	0.03	
Clifton Park	58.6	88.2	29.9	1.32	
Hudson	59.1	87	29	2.57	
Highland HVRL	59.8	84.8	32.8	1.13	
Marlboro	58.8	82.9	31.9	1.09	
Riverhead	62.7	83	41	4.22	

By May 23rd it became clearer that surviving flowers, with viable pistils (most flowers) that set fruit, weren't going to drop off on their own. CCE ENYCHP issued an E-Alert suggesting that chemical thinners should be used at normal rates based on the NEWA carbohydrate model. The resulting crop had a "clumped" distribution on the tree, reflecting the loss of the king bloom, along with a high degree of set of the side bloom, and poor thinning performance. In late June, growers and industry professionals estimated the Hudson Valley crop to be 70% of the 2015 crop. Maturity pink stage, the weather cooled, with the bloom period being estimates calculated in early August resulted in a prediction of Gala, Mac's, and Honeycrisp running three days earlier

> August turned out to be much warmer than average for the Hudson Valley. A local media outlet reported that we experienced more 90+ days this past August than any other August on record. However, the high temperatures did not result in an increase in the rate of maturity development. In comparing harvest maturity data from 2015 with 2016, Gala maturity was slightly ahead, McIntosh roughly the same, and Honeycrisp a few days behind. For later varieties such as Empire, Red Delicious, Fuji and Rome, maturity approximated general calendar dates. In general, flesh firmness and Brix were up this year, and color was down. For Gala, McIntosh, and Honeycrisp, retailers lowered color standards to accommodate. The Bitter Pit disorder was rampant in Honevcrisp this year, with incidence ranging from 2 -60+ percent in sampled blocks. September and October were very dry, with growers continuing trickle irrigation when available, and re-deploying their solid-set equipment. Even with the short crop, fruit size was disappointing. As

Serving the educational and research needs of the commercial small fruit, vegetable and tree fruit industries in Albany, Clinton, Columbia, Dutchess, Essex, Fulton, Greene, Montgomery, Orange, Putnam, Rensselaer, Saratoga, Schoharie, Schenectady, Ulster, Warren and Washington Counties

continued on next

Capacity Building



• Agrosta fruit quality analyzer and supporting equipment • Macro Camera ○ Future needs: Gas Chromatograph for **Ethylene Analysis**

